

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Response Transmittal

Applicant: Bartley, *et al.*

Serial No.: 09/892,435

Filed: 06/27/01

TITLE: APPARATUS, METHOD, AND BUSINESS METHOD FOR ENABLING  
CUSTOMER ACCESS TO COMPUTER SYSTEM EXECUTION DATA IN  
EXCHANGE FOR SHARING THE EXECUTION DATA

Docket No.: ROC920010184US1

Group Art Unit: 2143

Examiner: Bilgrami, Asghar H.



Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is a Request for Reconsideration in the above-identified Application.

☒ No additional Fee is Due.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0465 for IBM Corporation.

☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

**MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.**

P.O. Box 548  
Carthage, MO 64836-0548  
(417) 358-4700

By Bret J. Petersen  
Bret J. Petersen  
Registration No.: 37,417

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT, ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, POSTAGE PAID, AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ARLINGTON, VA 22313-1450

DATE: December 13, 2004

SIGNED: Duke F. Martin



2143  
Bh

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION*

Applicant: Bartley, *et al.* Docket No.: ROC920010184US1  
Serial No.: 09/<sup>392</sup>982,435 Group Art Unit: 2143  
Filed: 6/27/2001 Examiner: Bilgrami, Asghar H.  
TITLE: Apparatus, Method, And Business Method For Enabling Customer Access  
To Computer System Execution Data In Exchange For Sharing The  
Execution Data

Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the office action dated September 13, 2004, applicants request reconsideration of the Examiner's rejection of the pending claims.

**STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**

Claims 1-29 were originally filed in this patent application. In the pending office action claim 17 was objected for being too broad and ambiguous, and for not fitting in the context of the independent claim. Claims 1-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikami *et al.* (5,704,031) (hereinafter "Mikami") in view of Farhat *et al.* (6,510,463) (hereinafter "Farhat"). No claim was allowed. Claims 1-29 are currently pending.